

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/826,727	04/05/2001	R. Dennis Nesbitt	P-5500-CP1-D1	7714
24492 7	590 04/22/2003			
MICHELLE BUGBEE, ASSOCIATE PATENT COUNSEL SPALDING SPORTS WORLDWIDE INC 425 MEADOW STREET			EXAMINER	
			DEL SOLE, JOSEPH S	
PO BOX 901	PO BOX 901 CHICOPEE, MA 01021-0901		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Cincol LL, W	17. 01021-0501		1722	
			DATE MAILED: 04/22/2003	,

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

`		ポープー				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/826,727	NESBITT, R. DENNIS				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Joseph S. Del Sole	1722				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing eamed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a y within the statutory minimum of thin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th	nis action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowationsed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11,20 and 21</u> is/are pending in the	application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	• •					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) 1-11,20 and 21 are subject to restrict	ion and/or election require	ement.				
Application Papers	•					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	۲.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
 Certified copies of the priority document 	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in A	pplication No				
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	·					
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro	ovisional application has b	een received.				
Attachment(s)	•					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/826,727 Page 2

Art Unit: 1722

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-11, drawn to an extrusion apparatus, classified in class 425, subclass 325.
- Claims 20-21, drawn to a golf ball preform, classified in class 428, subclass 542.8.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions of groups I and II are related as apparatus and product made. The inventions in this relationship are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown:
- (1) that the apparatus as claimed is not an obvious apparatus for making the product and the apparatus can be used for making a different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different apparatus (MPEP § 806.05(g)). In this case, the apparatus as claimed is not an obvious apparatus for making the product since the apparatus does not have dimple forming structures and the apparatus can be used for making a different product, such as any of a variety of solid balls with a rubber core including a baseball.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Group I, claims 1-11 of this application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Application/Control Number: 09/826,727

Art Unit: 1722

Species I, Figures 4-8;

Species II, Figures 9-11.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic. Additionally, the Examiner would like to point out that claim 10 appears to be incorrectly dependent on claim 1. While claim 10 cites a sheeter, there is actually no sheeter in the species in which there is "core stock being extruded through the at least one die" (claim 10) since sheets are only formed by rollers 100 and 102. Rollers 100 and 102 are not included in Species II, nor are they needed in claims 10-11. The Examiner suggests rewriting claim 10 in independent form.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Art Unit: 1722

بهاء بالمستون

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

5. During a telephone conversation between Examiner, Dr. Mark Eashoo, and Applicant's attorney, Ms. Michelle Bugbee, on 4/9/03 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-11. However, a new election must be made pursuant to the new, complex restriction requirement made in this Office action. The Applicant may now elect Group II, or the Applicant may elect a species within Group I and if so the Applicant must include a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added.

Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph S. Del Sole whose telephone number is (703) 308-6295. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 A.M. to 5:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ms. Wanda Walker, can be reached at (703) 308-0457. The official fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9310 for non-after finals and (703) 872-9311 for after finals.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

J.S.D. April 15, 2003

Joseph & Del Sole

ROBERT DAVIS
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP-18007/227_

1.0 1.0